

---

---

## HOW LYNDON LAROCHE'S WRITINGS PROVIDE US WITH THE SOLUTION TO THE PRESENT WORLD CRISIS IN THE SIMULTANEITY OF TEMPORAL ETERNITY

There is truth in putting our heads together, but not in putting the narrative of our  
Artificial Intelligence (AI) together

By Pierre Beaudry, 7/15/2024

---

---

### INTRODUCTION

*“Victory is ensured when people pool their strength together; Success is secured when people put their heads together.”* Chinese adage, [Joining Hands to Build a More Beautiful Home Of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization \(fmprc.gov.cn\)](http://fmprc.gov.cn)

What is required the most in world leadership today is a triply-connected quality of thinking, which includes, as President Xi Jinping of China stated: 1) the wisdom to see the coming change, 2) the ability to handle that change, and 3) the courage to see through the implementation of that change; that is, the human wisdom to make an axiomatic change in the *simultaneity of temporal eternity*. Here is how President Xi asserted this view of the current axiomatic change of the world in his opening remarks to the [Shanghai Cooperation Organization Plus](http://www.shanghai-cooperation-organization.org), on July 4<sup>th</sup> 2024:

“The international landscape is undergoing rapid transformation. A new round of scientific and technological revolution and industrial transformation is advancing fast. As human civilization marches forward in big strides, factors of insecurity, instability and uncertainty are evidently increasing. To cope with this major transformation, the key is to have the wisdom to see the changes, the ability to deal with the

changes, and the courage to make changes. We need to bear in mind that we live in a community with a shared future, and always uphold the Shanghai Spirit.”

This statement by President Xi Jinping corresponds to Helga Zepp-LaRouche's principle #8 of her [\*\*Ten Principles of a New International Security and Development Architecture\*\*](#), which states:

“In former times, one civilization at one corner of the world could go under, and the rest of the world would only find out years later, due to the length of distances and the time needed for travel. Now, for the first time, because of nuclear weapons, pandemics, the internet, and other global effects, mankind is sitting in one boat. Therefore, a solution to the existential threat to humanity cannot be found with the help of secondary or partial arrangements, but the solution must be found on the level of that higher One, which is more powerful than the Many. It requires the thinking on the level of *Coincidentia Oppositorum*, the Coincidence of Opposites, of Nicholas of Cusa.”

The epistemological point to consider here between Helga and President XI is how the acceleration of such a tempo of change leads to a new conception of time for mankind, which is necessary to be discovered in each of our minds, in order to solve the global problems of today. What needs to be discovered is Lyndon LaRouche's idea of the time of the One of the Many; that is, the unchanging time of *simultaneity of temporal eternity*. The strategy to make that LaRouche discovery of principle work has been identified, specifically, by Dennis Speed in his EIR Daily News report of Saturday, July 6, 2024, [\*\*A Durable and Just Peace, Viewed from the Complex Domain\*\*](#) in which he stated:

“Our successful re-engagement with Russia, China, and other nations, may well depend on our ability to re-establish trust, not in the way the pragmatists imagine, but through joint work on the frontiers of scientific method, such as in space science, thermonuclear fusion

research, and Classical culture. All of these are areas in which economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche played a leading and positive role—and was suppressed by the same apparatus prosecuting wars abroad and injustice at home. If Russia, China, India, the nations of the Global South, see Lyndon LaRouche's writings and organization rise to an insurgent prominence in the United States—for example in the Independent campaigns of Diane Sare and Jose Vega—then, and only then can the United States actually be trusted to represent an honest broker in a new security and development architecture. And without that, the danger of thermonuclear war will permanently remain only minutes away.”

The question this poses is how to unite Russia, China, India and others, with the United States behind the three principles of the American Declaration of Independence and Xi Jinping's five principles?

### **HOW CAN THE POLICY ORIENTATION OF RUSSIA, CHINA, AND INDIA COINCIDE WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE?**

How to master a method by means of which the truth can be stated without falling into the traps of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) narrative? First of all, consider the five principles that Xi Jinping proposed to the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) to be similar to the three principles of the American Declaration of Independence:

*“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,—That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new*

*Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to affect their Safety and Happiness.”<sup>1</sup>*

These are what I consider to be the principles of Diane Sare and Jose Vega's campaigns.

*The five SCO's principles are the Echoes of  
Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness*



- 1) *A common home of solidarity and mutual trust.*
- 2) *A common home of peace and tranquility.*
- 3) *A common home of prosperity and development.*
- 4) *A common home of good-neighborliness and friendship.*
- 5) *A common home of fairness and justice.*

First of all, when you apply all of these eight principles to all the nations of the world, you secure a peaceful future for all of mankind. Secondly, when you apply such five SCO principles to the United States, each and every one of them reflects the natural positive outcome of the American Revolution.

These are the very first ideas one needs to establish in order to bring a unity of policy among the four nations of Russia, China, India, and the United States, because they each express a principle of harmony among all human beings. For this to happen, you need to consider that mankind was created in the image of God, that is, like the triply connected harmony of the Holy Trinity, because those

<sup>1</sup> THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE – 1776 : [HHRG-117-GO00-20220929-SD010.pdf \(house.gov\)](https://www.house.gov/imo/media/doc/HHRG-117-GO00-20220929-SD010.pdf)

principles are a direct reflection of such a Trinity, which Xi expressed in his triply-connected principle of change:

*The wisdom to see the changes,  
The ability to deal with the changes, and  
The courage to make changes.*

It is such a triply-connected mental power of *wisdom, ability, and courage* that is required to realize the coincidence of opposites, that, for instance, Nicholas of Cusa promoted, in order to recognize the current world situation in the *simultaneity of temporal eternity*. In fact, the *simultaneity of temporal eternity* is the higher dynamic mental process of those three intersecting mental functions acting on each other throughout time, and at the same time as the One of the Many.

The call from President Xi to move faster is precisely what is required, because it is the coincidence of the past with the future into the present situation which will turn the world situation upside down in order to provide the right time for the change to come. Accelerating security measures is especially important in the area of anti-drugs and anti-terrorism:

“The SCO should move faster to build a universal center and its branches to address the challenges and threats to the security of SCO member states and the Anti-Drug Center. It should strengthen intelligence sharing and conduct joint operations to jointly safeguard security in our part of the world. As the current chair of the Regional Anti-Terrorist Structure, China will do what it can to ensure the success of the Interaction-2024 joint counterterrorism exercise and other events.

“Afghanistan is indispensable for the security in the region. We need to make best use of the mechanism of coordination and cooperation among Afghanistan’s neighbors and other platforms to increase humanitarian support to Afghanistan, and encourage Afghanistan to establish a broad-

based and inclusive political structure and embark on a path of peace and reconstruction.”<sup>2</sup>

How can one find such a harmony? By calling for the establishment of a similar anti-drug coalition policy in the United States. How else can this be understood? Take a look at numbers and consider how the coincidence of opposites, such as odd and even numbers, and put them in such a contradictory way that their opposition becomes resolved as if in a process of axiomatic change. Gottfried Leibniz showed how to solve this problem:

“It is in this way that experience convinces us that the odd numbers continually added together in order to produce the square numbers:  $1 + 3$  make  $4$ , that is,  $2$  times  $2$ . And  $1 + 3 + 5$  makes  $9$ , that is,  $3$  times  $3$ . And  $1 + 3 + 5 + 7$  makes  $16$ , that is,  $4$  times  $4$ . And  $1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9$  makes  $25$ , that is,  $5$  times  $5$ . And so on.”<sup>3</sup>

You ask: What do such numbers have to do with politics? The answer is everything. They reflect how to achieve a preestablished harmony. First and foremost, such a result is acquired through principles as opposed to pragmatism. These are the kind of epistemological hurdles to discover when you wish to have countries cooperating freely around the world. Once you have answered this first question, you can then consider how different forms of harmonic arrangements can be made among the different governments of the world, for example, the principles of the July 4<sup>th</sup> 1776 Declaration of Independence.

It is only after such principles of “Independence” have been internalized in your mind that the idea of *simultaneity of temporal eternity* can be properly understood as politically viable all over the world, because such a discovery requires a special form of timing which implies that its truthfulness is valid for any and all nations all the time. The difficulty, however, is that such a time is

---

<sup>2</sup> [Shanghai Cooperation Organization Plus. Joining Hands to Build a More Beautiful Home Of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization \(fmprc.gov.cn\)](#)

<sup>3</sup> In [Leibniz and the Two Sophies](#): The Philosophical Correspondence, Edited and translated by LLOYD STRICKLAND, Iter Inc. Centre for Reformation and Renaissance Studies, Toronto 2011, Letter No. 48, March-June 1702, p. 231. Sophie of Hanover was the presumptive heiress to the throne of England and Scotland which was sabotaged by British “Intelligence.”

accelerating toward a colliding moment where the past, the present, and the future are forced to come together into a single moment such that only those who are willing to imagine it as an infinite or eternal characteristic of the human mind in the image of God are able to properly conceive of it.

This is the unity of the coincidence of opposite between past and future that Xi Jinping demonstrated with his conception of change in his fight against drugs; because, when you change the past policy away from drugs and terrorism into a future drug-free policy, you increase the power of mankind. This is the sort of temporal human condition which Lyndon LaRouche identified as a transformation for all time to come: a time of truthfulness which is valid for any and all periods of human history.

Once you discover the truth of such a *simultaneity of temporal eternity*, you are also able to discover how cooperation and solidarity among all nations can eliminate competition, confrontation, and hegemonism. And then, everything wrong from the past can be turned around through such a lasting moment of *temporal eternity*.

### **LAROCHE'S METHOD OF HYPOTHESIZING THE HIGHER HYPOTHESIS**

People sometimes ask: "How can I make a discovery of principle?" The only way to make a discovery of principle is to cause a fundamental change in the society you live in. This is a very important decision to make, because it puts your entire life on the line. The disposition that is required is to look for a way to make a change in the way that society behaves around you. If you can live with the way things are, you will never make such a discovery; because you can always manage to get along by adapting yourself to the way things are. On the contrary, a discovery of principle requires that one breaks with the practicalities of going along to get along. That's a life changer. Here is the way Lyndon LaRouche explained the process of such an axiomatic change:

*"Simple Hypothesis.* On the lowest level of human problem-solving (rational) activity, we attempt to comprehend a problem by aid of the

assumption that prevailing opinion is broadly correct. We seek to describe the problem considered in a manner which is credible and acceptable to either prevailing assumptions of general opinion, or the body of opinion associated with some chosen professional or other peer group of reference. This is what is sometimes described as an 'other-directed' state of -mind, which limits one's self-approved thoughts to such thoughts one imagines to be approved among neighbors, family, prevailing authorities, and so forth. [...]

*“Higher Hypothesis.* In this approach to problem solving, the thinker rejects the 'other-directedness' of simple hypothesis. He is interested chiefly in those kinds of experiments which test one or more of the underlying, axiomatic assumptions of prevailing opinion, and expects to be able sooner or later to overturn one or more of such assumptions through a 'crucial' experimental demonstration. This creative thinker is inherently an 'iconoclast' in the eyes of his 'other-directed' fellow professionals, and they 'philistines' in his eyes, as such were in the eyes of Plato's Socrates. He is 'innerdirected'; he must prove everything for himself, especially those features of the logical lattice-work of established opinion which are underlying, axiomatic. [...]

*“Hypothesis of the Higher Hypothesis.* The fact that successions of higher hypothesis (scientific-technological revolutions) prompt increase of potential relative population density of society implies that such a succession of scientific revolutions has an ordered character. In other words, the succession of higher hypotheses subsuming such an ordered-succession of scientific-technological revolutions has an ordered character. This defines a new experimental problem for hypothesis, the experiment which isolates the consistent feature of successive scientific revolutions, the common principle of discovery uniting revolutions which are otherwise different. This defines a *hypothesis of the higher hypothesis*.

“Just as no experimental hypothesis can be the last word in human knowledge, the same is true for successful *hypothesis of the higher*



*hypothesis*. It cannot be perfect, and it need not be perfect. It is required that the successive improvements in this hypothesis successfully direct man to the needed next step upward through scientific revolutions.”<sup>4</sup>

This triply-connected action of the creative human mind is reflexive of the three different moments of time, present, past and future that Xi Jinping identified in his discovery of the triple process of change in the *simultaneity of temporal eternity*.

In final analysis, the question to be answered is: does such discovery lead to an increase in the potential relative population density of mankind? Does that discovery increase humanity's power over the universe as a whole? If the answers to these two questions are yes, then every human individual has the ability to freely access that power and contribute to it.

### **LAROCHE IN HIS OWN WORDS: THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TRUTH OF SIMULTANEITY OF TEMPORAL ETERNITY AND THE FAKE NARRATIVE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)**

In his July 7, 2024 lead to EIR News, Dennis Small identified an important connection between a true statement and a “narrative.” Dennis identified the challenge that Helga issued to the participants of the July 5 meeting of the International Peace Coalition. Helga's statement was the following:

“I think we also need to do some in-depth work. I think what we are experiencing right now is a gigantic effort to replace reality with narratives. And I believe in Plato, I believe in Socrates and the Socratic dialogue. I don't think anybody has the patent on the truth, but I believe the Socratic dialogue is a method for how you can arrive at the truth. If we are ending up in a world where all sides just defend their narratives, the truth gets lost....

---

<sup>4</sup> Lyndon LaRouche, [1984-special-The-Science-of-the-Human-Mind.pdf \(larouchepub.com\)](#) pages 7-8.

“I think we need to issue a challenge to historians, journalists, investigative journalists, and other people concerned with historical truth to reconstruct how we got to this point of a potential World War III. How did we lose an incredible historical opportunity which existed more than 30 years ago with German unification, the collapse of the Soviet Union—which naturally for the people living there was not a good thing, it was a collapse of their system.”<sup>5</sup>

The point to understand here is that a “narrative” is produced by consulting Artificial Intelligence (AI); that’s the problem with each and every narrative you hear on the fake news: they are all artificial. Indeed, it is only through restoring a Socratic dialogue among world leaders that such problems can be solved peacefully and diplomatically, without AI, because such a dialogue implies the discovery of the difference between principle and pragmatism, which a computer cannot do. In [Our Next 40 Years' Partnership With Russia, China and India](#), LaRouche noted this matter of principle as being crucial because it is the characteristic of his own intellectual personality, as a world historical figure. Here is LaRouche’s statement in its full extension:

“Soon, during the early 1970s, I was given the opportunity to prove my point. Soon, by Summer 1971, I had proven myself, thoroughly, as the best economic forecaster in both the United States (and also) Britain, too. (Not as much to my own credit, but, rather, the incredibility of my professional rivals. Life, I have found, is often like that.) [...]

“The teaching of the practice of mathematics, that usually done on the silly presumption that it is a foundation of scientific practice, is among the commonplace signs of rampant folly among both the so-called ‘scientific,’ and the lower professions of both financial accounting, as in the inherently crooked Wall Street practice known as ‘usury.’ The true facts of the subject-matter, were settled, for all competent minds today, by the standards set,

---

<sup>5</sup> Helga Zepp-LaRouche,

implicitly, in Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton's four principles of the physical economy of that American System of society which had been established within an environment created under the leadership of Benjamin Franklin. That means the profound American cultural victory over that of the British empire of that time; and, the genius exemplified by the unique discoveries made by the first Administration of the United States, especially that made by President George Washington's first Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton.

“Those opinions contrary to my own practice in an economic science are rightly to be considered as potentially dangerous ‘quacks,’ in both intentions and effects. Presently, Franklin Roosevelt's great Glass-Steagall's achievements illustrate the point, and exposes the virtually chronic insanity of any contrary, merely monetarist opinions. [...]

“Money as such, actually ‘earns’ absolutely nothing, when it were measured on the scale of human realities. *To speak in relatively specific terms: human progress can be fairly measured, in terms of its net physical effect, per capita, as an effective increase of the net energy-flux density efficiently expressed, per capita, relevant to the environment in which human purposeful activity is effectively expressed.* [...]

“It must become our true pleasure, to locate the notion of value in what the creative (intrinsically noëtic) powers of the human mind resonate still longer after the original discoverer were deceased, an effect then resonating as if through the heavens, as if forever. That is a clue to the true destiny and meaning of the human life. From Adam through Einstein, and beyond, that reveals the underlying, immortal experience of that which has lived to create higher principles within our universe. [...]

“All of our greatest scientists and poets have done the same: we make the future; that is our profession; that is our strategy, of which, we are assured, that nothing can prevent. The planets may be destroyed, solar systems and the like, may pass, but we are ever there, jerking the devil's tail as if by invisible hands, wherever, and whatever we may become beyond.

We are joined together in this mission, throughout it all, forever. That is the meaning of a human life having been lived”<sup>6</sup>

That is how truthfulness lives in the *simultaneity of temporal eternity*, when you compare it with the narrative of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

## **THE PROJECT 2025 DICTATORSHIP: RULING BY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) MARKS THE END OF CIVILIZATION**

In contrast to LaRouche’s method, consider the narrative for the future that is being proposed by the Heritage Foundation Project 2025. The so-called conservative revolution of Project 2025 is an Artificial Intelligence (AI) dictatorship of population control overseen by Heritage Foundation and associated think tanks with the explicit purpose to take control over the coming Trump Administration. According to [What is Project 2025? What to know about the conservative blueprint for a second Trump administration - CBS News](#):

“Project 2025 is a proposed presidential transition project that is composed of four pillars: a policy guide for the next presidential administration; a LinkedIn-style database of personnel who could serve in the next administration; training for that pool of candidates dubbed the "Presidential Administration Academy;" and a playbook of actions to be taken within the first 180 days in office.

“It is led by two former Trump administration officials: Paul Dans, who was chief of staff at the Office of Personnel Management and serves as director of the project, and Spencer Chretien, former special assistant to Trump and now the project's associate director.

“Project 2025 is spearheaded by the Heritage Foundation, but includes an advisory board consisting of more than 100 conservative groups.”<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Lyndon LaRouche, [AN AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL MEMORANDUM My Science & Our Society](#), EIR, June 30, 2017, from page 57 to 61. [[eirv44n26.pdf \(larouchepub.com\)](#)]

<sup>7</sup> Kevin Roberts, Paul Dans, and Steven Groves, [2025 MandateForLeadership FULL.pdf \(project2025.org\)](#). “During the Trump and Biden Administrations, there has been a bipartisan focus on prioritizing R&D funding around the so-called Industries of the Future (IOTF). Under

The most criticized aspect of the project is the Heritage Foundation 900 page book that lays out the taking over of the federal government by a permanent bureaucracy. It is stated in this book that the President is unable to command by himself and that he needs a group of advisors of the White House Office (WHO) to run the government for him:

“The President must rely on the men and women appointed to the WHO. There simply are not enough hours in the day to manage the affairs of state single-handedly, so delegation is not just advisable: It is essential. The decisions that assistants and senior advisers make will directly impact the Administration, its legacy, and—most important—the fate of the country. Their agenda must therefore be the President’s agenda. Choosing who will carry out that agenda on a daily basis is not only one of the first decisions a President makes in office, but also one of the most critical. The tone and tempo of an administration are often determined on January 20.”<sup>8</sup>

Project 2025 is the AI dictatorship system for the White House Office (WHO), which intends to run the President of the United States, tell him what to do, and tell him how to run the world. According to Heritage Foundation president, Kevin Roberts: “We are in the process of the second American revolution, which will remain bloodless if the left allows it to be.”<sup>9</sup> (Note that Roberts is an associate of Trump advisor, Steve Bannon.)

---

President Trump, IOTF priorities were artificial intelligence (AI), quantum information science (QIS), advanced communications/5G, advanced manufacturing, and biotechnology.” P. 59. [...] “The Administration will also need to transition to using technology, including tools and services for managing Big Data (which provide a robust electronic record repository, making information within and across agencies easier to organize and locate and facilitating more rapid review and release capabilities for records of emerging interest); artificial intelligence/machine learning (which, when incorporated into existing business practices, enables machine interpretation of unstructured text and data, applies decision support technology to enable more consistent classification decisions, and expedites reviews between agencies); and expansion of Commercial Cloud services (which facilitate the rapid testing and deployment of new tools and technologies). P. 224.

<sup>8</sup> [2025 MandateForLeadership FULL.pdf \(project2025.org\)](#), p. 24.

<sup>9</sup> [Project 2025: A wish list for a Trump presidency, explained \(bbc.com\)](#)

Project 2025 also calls for the complete eradication of the Department of Education at the federal level: “This department is an example of federal intrusion into a traditionally state and local realm. For the sake of American children, Congress should shutter it and return control of education to the states.”<sup>10</sup>

The irony behind this Project 2025 Artificial Intelligence (AI) machine is that it is a “divide and conquer” mechanism for dummies. The problem is that it doesn’t know what it is doing, so it is best not to put it in charge of any important decision you want to make about anything. Leave the important tasks to the adults in the room. Otherwise, those who put AI in control of their business are going to regret it, because not only is AI ultimately incompetent, it may also just happen to fall into the hands of suicidal individuals.

### **IN THE AFTERMATH OF THE ASSASSINATION ATTEMPT AGAINST FORMER PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP**

Since the failed assassination attempt of Donald Trump on July 13, 2024 coincides with a call from Ukrainian leaders to negotiate a peace settlement with Russia, the result becomes completely unacceptable for NATO, which will, therefore, attempt to launch WWII at the earliest possible moment before the US November elections. This is why we can expect at any time a new attempt to start WWII.

Therefore, this assassination failure should be for the LaRouche Organization a call to institute what Jacques Cheminade identified as a “cognitive mobilization” as opposed to warfare; that is, we must mobilize against world military dictatorship in such a manner that the insightful people everywhere increasingly call for negotiation: FREEZE EVERYTHING AND NEGOTIATE. As Helga stated: “It’s a New Paradigm or World War III.”

---

<sup>10</sup> Op. Cit., p. 285.

**CONCLUSION: LYNDON LAROCHE'S WRITINGS ON THE FOUR  
POWERS AND ON THE SIMULTANEITY OF ETERNITY**

**On the four powers**

1. [Lyndon LaRouche Calls on the U.S., China, Russia and India To Create A New Bretton Woods System.](#)
2. [World Landbridge - 全球策略信息](#)
3. [The LaRouche Plan for a New International Economic ...](#)
4. [Our Next 40 Years' Partnership With Russia, China and India.](#)

**On the *simultaneity of eternity***

1. [1984-special-The-Science-of-the-Human-Mind.pdf \(larouchepub.com\)](#)
2. [The Substance of Morality, by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. ...](#)
3. [Jesus Christ and Civilization, by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. ...](#)
4. [Shrunken Heads In America Today, by Lyndon H. ...](#)

**FIN**